



Session 3. Device Programming & Management for VT/VF How to Manage The VT or VF Episodes During Follow Up

Session 3. Device Programming & Management for VT/VF

#### How to Manage The VT or VF Episodes During Follow Up

### 경희 의대 김진배



### **Disclosure : none**





VT Symposium 2021

Session 3. Device Programming & Management for VT/VF

How to Manage The VT or VF Episodes During Follow Up

Male 61 yrs old

' 09. DCMP진단 (EF 31%), minimal coronary

disease

medical Tx

- '12. VT episode로 VT ablation시행
- '13. recurrent VT, DCMP로 CRT-D implantation







## **Telemetry monitoring**



Multiple episodes of nonsustained VT (1-2 secs) PVC burden = 4.1%



## EPS ('12)



✓ VT was not reproducibly induced with PES.✓ Failed catheter ablation of VT due to noninducibility



## **CRT-D** implantation

### Chest PA



### Echocardiography

Dilated LV (LVIDd 63mm, LVIDs 54mm,LVEDV 120ml, LVESV 88ml) Moderate to severe systolic dysfunction (EF: 30% by simpson's method, M mode 25%) Global hypokinesia Valve : Fibrothickened AV and MV Mild AR, TR, MR Diastolic function: Restrictive pattern (E/E' 33)



## **Drug therapy for VT**

✓ The only drugs that have shown a reduction in VT episodes, in randomized clinical trials (RCTs), are amiodarone and sotalol.

✓ RCTs have demonstrated that these drugs can reduce VT recurrences in patients with an ICD; however, their efficacy is moderate, with no benefit on overall mortality and significant side effects

Comparison of β-Blockers, Amiodarone Plus β-Blockers, or Sotalol for prevention of shocks from implantable cardioverter defi brillators: the OPTIC Study: A Randomized Trial. JAMA. 2006 Amiodarone for the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur Heart J. 2009 Effect of d-sotalol on mortality in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after recent and remote myocardial infarction. The SWORD investigators. Survival With Oral d-Sotalol. Lancet. 1925

## **Prophylactic catheter ablation ?**



**ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



Preventive or Deferred Ablation of Ventricular Tachycardia in Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy and Implantable Defibrillator (BERLIN VT)

A Multicenter Randomized Trial

Defered ablation  $\rightarrow$  after 3<sup>rd</sup> appropriated shock







### Preventive VT ablation before ICD implantation **did not reduce**

#### mortality or

hospitalization for arrhythmia or worsening he art failure during a 1-year of follow-up



# 2019 HRS/EHRA/APHRS/LAHRS expert consensus statement on catheter ablation of ventricular arrhythmias

Recommendations	COR	LOE
In patients with NICM and recurrent sustained monomorphic VT for whom antiarrhythmic medications are ineffective, contraindicated, or not tolerated, catheter ablation is useful for reducing recurrent VT and ICD shocks.	I	B-NR
In patients with NICM and electrical storm refractory to AAD therapy, catheter ablation is useful for reducing recurrent VT and ICD shocks.	lla	В
In patients with IHD and an ICD who experience a first episode of monomorphic VT, catheter ablation may be considered to reduce the risk of recurrent VT or ICD therapies.	llb	Α



## **Real world practice**



Ischemic CMP

Nonischemic CMP

Tilz RR, et al. Management of ventricular tachycardia in the ablation era: the EHRA Survey. Europace.



#### Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation versus Escalation of Antiarrhythmic Drugs

John L. Sapp, M.D., George A. Wells, Ph.D., Ratika Parkash, M.D., William G. Stevenson, M.D., Louis Blier, M.D., Jean-Francois Sarrazin, M.D., Bernard Thibault, M.D., Lena Rivard, M.D., Lorne Gula, M.D., Peter Leong-Sit, M.D., Vidal Essebag, M.D., Ph.D., Pablo B. Nery, M.D., Stanley K. Tung, M.D., Jean-Marc Raymond, M.D., Laurence D. Sterns, M.D., George D. Veenhuyzen, M.D., Jeff S. Healey, M.D., Damian Redfearn, M.D., Jean-Francois Roux, M.D., and Anthony S.L. Tang, M.D.

#### **VANISH Clinical Trial**

Patients were eligible for inclusion if

had a myocardial infarction,

had undergone placement of an ICD, and

had an episode of ventricular tachycardia during treatment with amiodarone or another class I or class III AAD within the previous 6 months.



Table 2. Trial Outcomes.*				
Outcome	Escalated Therapy (N = 127)	Catheter Ablation (N = 132)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
	no.	. (%)		
Primary outcome†	87 (68.5)	78 (59.1)	0.72 (0.53-0.98)	0.04
Death	35 (27.6)	36 (27.3)	0.96 (0.60-1.53)	0.86
From cardiovascular causes:	26	24		
From noncardiovascular causes	8	12		
From unknown cause	1	0		
Appropriate ICD shock after 30 days	54 (42.5)	50 (37.9)	0.77 (0.53-1.14)	0.19
Ventricular tachycardia storm after 30 days	42 (33.1)	32 (24.2)	0.66 (0.42-1.05)	0.08
Other outcomes				
Appropriate ICD shock at any time	54 (42.5)	56 (42.4)	0.97 (0.66-1.40)	0.85
Ventricular tachycardia storm at any time	46 (36.2)	38 (28.8)	0.74 (0.48-1.14)	0.17
Sustained ventricular tachycardia below ICD detection limit				
At any time	13 (10.2)	4 (3.0)	0.27 (0.09-0.84)	0.02
After 30 days	8 (6.3)	3 (2.3)	0.33 (0.09-1.25)	0.09
Cardioversion for ventricular tachycardia§	14 (11.0)	8 (6.1)	0.52 (0.22-1.23)	0.13
Appropriate ATP				
At any time	79 (62.2)	84 (63.6)	0.97 (0.71-1.32)	0.83
After 30 days	78 (61.4)	77 (58.3)	0.87 (0.63-1.19)	0.37
Inappropriate ICD shock				
At any time	11 (8.7)	13 (9.8)	1.08 (0.48-2.41)	0.86
After 30 days	11 (8.7)	13 (9.8)	1.08 (0.48-2.42)	0.85
Hospital admission for cardiac causes	39 (30.7)	33 (25.0)	0.76 (0.48-1.21)	0.25
	no. (mean i	no./person-yr)		
Total shocks or arrhythmia events¶				
ICD shock				
Appropriate	266 (2.09)	169 (1.28)	NA	0.28
Inappropriate	85 (0.67)	66 (0.50)	NA	0.46
Appropriate ATP	2453 (19.2)	1711 (13.0)	NA	0.27
Sustained ventricular tachycardia below ICD detection	18 (0.14)	4 (0.03)	NA	0.02



NEJM 2016

Subgroup	Ablation	Escalated Therapy	)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)		P Value fo Interactio
All patients	78/132 (59.1)	87/127 (68.5)	_		0 72 (0 53-0 98)	
Baseline AAD therapy		0.722. (00.07)		-	0.72 (0.55 0.50)	0.03
Amiodarone	52/85 (61.2)	65/84 (77.4)	_	-	0 55 (0 38-0 80)	
Not amiodarone	26/47 (55.3)	22/43 (51.2)			1.14 (0.65-2.02)	
Election fraction				-		0.26
<30%	35/55 (63.6)	46/59 (78.0)	_		0.62 (0.40-0.97	
>30%	41/71 (57.7)	35/60 (58.3)			0.90 (0.57-1.42)	
NYHA functional class	,,			_	0.50 (0.57 2.12)	0.73
1	17/33 (51.5)	18/28 (64.3)			0.63 (0.32-1.25)	
	44/49 (89.8)	48/68 (70.6)		•	0.76 (0.51-1.15)	
	17/30 (56.7)	21/31 (67.7)		-	0.81 (0.42-1.53)	
Type of ICD		/ (/		-	0.01 (0.12 1.00)	0.10
Single-chamber	28/43 (65.1)	25/44 (56.8)	-		1.09 (0.63-1.87	
Dual-chamber	31/60 (51.7)	45/61 (73.8)		_	0.58 (0.36-0.92)	
CRT	19/29 (65.5)	17/22 (77.3)			0.52 (0.27-1.02)	
VT rate						0.36
>162 bpm	40/65 (61.5)	41/61 (67.2)			0.81 (0.52-1.25)	
≤162 bpm	36/65 (55.4)	46/66 (69.7)			0.63 (0.40-0.97	
ICD shock within 3 mo		,,			0.05 (0.10 0.57)	0.76
Yes	51/84 (60.7)	61/81 (75.3)	_	<b>→</b>	0.70 (0.48-1.02)	
No	27/48 (56.3)	26/46 (56.5)		-	0.79 (0.46-1.35)	
Sex	2.7 10 (0010)	20/10 (00:0)		-	0.75 (0.40 2.55)	0.66
Male	74/123 (60.2)	80/118 (67.8)	_		0.74 (0.54-1.01)	
Female	4/9 (44,4)	7/9 (77.8)	_		0.59 (0.16-2.13)	
Age	42 ( 4	.,,				0.30
<70 vr	47/78 (60.3)	32/53 (60.4)			0.88 (0.56-1.38)	
≥70 yr	31/54 (57.4)	55/74 (74.3)		-	0.61 (0.39-0.94)	
NT-proBNP			-		0.02 (0.05 0.54)	0.51
<550 pg/ml	19/40 (47.5)	23/37 (62.2)		-	0.63 (0.34-1.16)	
≥550 pg/ml	25/35 (71.4)	32/42 (76.2)			0.83 (0.49-1.41)	
ORS duration				-	0.00 (0.10 2.112)	0.70
<150 msec	34/63 (54.0)	35/57 (61.4)			0.80 (0.50-1.28)	
≥150 msec	41/63 (65.1)	45/61 (73.8)		-	0.69 (0.45-1.06)	
Index arrhythmia event	, ()	,,			0.05 (0.15 1.00)	0.35
ATP	8/14 (57.1)	7/20 (35.0)	_		1.45 (0.52-4.01)	1
Shock	47/74 (63.5)	47/61 (77.0)	_		0.69 (0.46-1.04)	
VT storm	5/12 (41.7)	16/20 (80.0)			0.47 (0.17-1.30)	
VT below detection	18/32 (56.3)	17/26 (65.4)			0.66 (0.34-1.29)	
Myocardial infarction	, ()	, ,,			(	0.78
Anterior	14/24 (58.3)	11/14 (78.6)			0.75 (0.45-1.28)	
Not anterior	52/82 (63.4)	58/90 (64.4)	_	-	0.89 (0.61-1.29)	
Atrial fibrillation						0.75
Yes	30/52 (57.7)	29/47 (61.7)			0.88 (0.53-1.46)	
No	48/80 (60.0)	58/80 (72.5)			0.65 (0.44-0.96)	
	, , , ,		0.2	1 1	1	
		0.1	0.2 0.5	1 2	5 10	,
			Ablation Better	AAD Bette	r	

#### ✓ Ablation group.

- 2 cardiac perforations
- 3 major bleeding

#### ✓ Escalated-therapy group.

2 deaths from pulmonary toxic effects1 from hepatic dysfunction



### Early Versus Late Referral for Catheter Ablation of VT in Structural Heart Disease





Arrhythmia Episode List: 22-Jan-2020 08:47:10 to 21-Apr-2020 11:21:39 All collected episodes.

Type	ATP	Shocke	Succase	ID#	Date	Time	Duration	Avg bpm	Max bpm	Activity at
Type	Seq	SHOCKS	Success	10#	Date	hh:mm	hh:mm:ss	AIV	A/V	Onset
VT	1	20J	Yes	296	19-Apr-2020	15:42	:28	115/146	<b>—/176</b>	Active
VT	1		Yes	295	19-Apr-2020	15:41	:14	113/171	-/171	Active
VT-NS				294	08-Apr-2020	22:48	:01	72/156		Rest
VT-NS				293	08-Apr-2020	22:38	:02	73/155		Rest
VT-NS				292	08-Apr-2020	22:35	:01	74/156		Rest
VT-NS				291	08-Apr-2020	22:29	:02	72/153		Rest
VT-NS				290	08-Apr-2020	22:28	:01	71/154		Rest
VT-NS				289	08-Apr-2020	22:16	:02	73/151		Rest
VT-NS				288	08-Apr-2020	22:12	:01	74/152		Rest
VT-NS				287	08-Apr-2020	22:10	:01	75/154		Rest
VT-NS				286	08-Apr-2020	22:10	:01	78/154		Rest
VT-NS				285	08-Apr-2020	22:07	:01	77/150		Rest
VT-NS				284	08-Apr-2020	22:06	:01	76/154		Rest
VT-NS				283	08-Apr-2020	21:56	:01	76/150		Rest
VT-NS				282	06-Apr-2020	20:55	:02	76/157		Rest
VT-NS				281	06-Apr-2020	20:53	:01	78/158		Rest
VT-NS				280	06-Apr-2020	20:52	:01	78/154		Rest
		Last Pi	rogrammer	Sess	ion 22-Jan-202	20				

(Data prior to last session has not been interrogated.)





#### VT detect $\rightarrow$ ATP $\rightarrow$ DC shock





1110

## EPS ('20)



- $\checkmark$  4 different VT's were induced.
- ✓ Some were terminated with catheter ablation, some were changed.



### VT's and 3D mapping

VT1







### VT's and 3D mapping









V13	
	6~~~~~
Dennennennennennen	n
mannannannannan	mm
	$\sim$
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\sim\sim\sim$
mmmmmmmmmmmmmm	mi
·······································	~~~
•~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	$\sim \sim$
······································	vv
·	vv
•••••••••••••••••••••••	w
minum	n



## Amiodarone start with IV loading $\rightarrow$ stopped after 9 months use d/t drug related complication

Turne	ATP	Cheeko	e	10#	Data	Time	Duration	Avg bpm	Max bpm	Activity at
Type	Seq	SHOCKS	ouccess	10#	Date	hh:mm	hh:mm:ss	A/V	A/V	Onset
VT-NS	;			4083	14-Oct-2021	08:40	:02	76/156		Active
VT-NS	;			4082	14-Oct-2021	08:39	:01	76/160		Active
VT-NS	;			4081	14-Oct-2021	08:37	:01	82/154		Active
VT-NS	;			4080	14-Oct-2021	08:37	:02	81/156		Active
VT-NS	;			4079	14-Oct-2021	08:36	:02	79/158		Active
VT-NS	;			4078	14-Oct-2021	08:36	:03	81/153		Active
VT-NS	;			4077	14-Oct-2021	08:35	:01	80/161		Active
VT-NS	;			4076	14-Oct-2021	08:35	:02	80/159		Active
VT-NS	;			4075	14-Oct-2021	08:35	:01	78/164		Active
VT-NS	;			4074	14-Oct-2021	08:35	:02	82/159		Active
VT-NS	;			4073	14-Oct-2021	08:32	:01	86/146		Active
VT-NS	;			4072	14-Oct-2021	08:31	:02	79/155		Rest
VT-NS	;			4071	14-Oct-2021	08:30	:01	72/154		Rest
VT-NS	;			4070	14-Oct-2021	08:30	:02	71/153		Rest
VT-NS	;			4069	13-Oct-2021	15:02	:01	66/154		Rest
VT	3	20J,35J	Yes	3926	13-Oct-2021	07:52	:43	78/182	<i>/</i> 316	Active
VT	1		Yes	1716	24-Sep-2021	10:55	:14	82/167	-/167	Active
VT	1		Yes	1707	24-Sep-2021	10:14	:32	83/167	90/171	Active
VT	1		Yes	1703	24-Sep-2021	08:12	:14	82/171	-/171	Active
		Last P	manammer	Seccio	n 14- bil-2021					

(Data prior to last session has not been interrogated.)









					~~~\/~~	www.co.f.y.	بوستىرىدىنى <sup>ي</sup> ىدىر مەرمە	hiv
1 1								
AA	MAA	hala	hhh	يمبحريها	handra	hunter	www.www.www.	mA
7	7	7 0	7 8 0	8 6 0	7 0	7	7 7	
T F	1 1 1	F F		( <b>)</b>	F F	F F F		i F
3 3 3 0 0	2 2 9 9 0 0	2 2 2 9 9 9 0 0 0	2 2 2 9 9 9 0 0 0	6 3 9 4 0 0	3 3 4 4 0 0	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	3 3 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	3 2 0
	VT Rx 1 Burst							

			2		~	-	Ļ	~~~	~		_	~~~		<b></b>	_				_	~	-		- ,	~			Ļ	,	~~	-,		- -		,	1	~	
		 T		N.								1		A	Ā		1	A	٨		A	Į.		A		1			T	,		1					
	<b></b> ,	hi	1	kir	٨	~~	Ą	<b>نە</b> نە	Λ,	مد	M.	لہ,	5	ŀ,	A	J	h	N,	J	W	h	Α,	Ϊ	ļ	Γ	Д,		4.00	Д,	ليس	v	Α,	لسي	1	/	السب	v
1000					1				7				7				770				7				7.8				8				8				8
			ł ł		ł		(A-1-W)		Į s		ι	Į.	, F		- F	Į.			ţ	ţ		ļ	Ř			ł.	R		1	, I	Ê	Į			1	ļ	
		32		32		320	-	20		32	2 7 0 VT. VT.	Rx 1/	2 7 10 Sea	2			2 7 0	0		2 7 0	27.0		4 3 0		4		3 1 0	2 8 0		2	2 9 0			9			0

	ENERGIEFE		
and the second	and the second	4/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	and the second s
		Andala	Λ. Λ. Λ. Λ. Α
	W W W W W	www.www.www	a free of the Astron Astron Astronom
7 7	7 7	7 7	+
	9 0	0 D	9 0 1580
R	ę. ę.	n n R	
2 2 3 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 6 7 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 5 2
10 : 0 : 0 : 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	VF Rx 1 Burst During Charging		



1940

36.3 J

1200

1370

1390

÷

2 2 1:...0 1170

d :



1190

1200

## **PARTITA trial**

Is catheter ablation performed after the first shock more effective than after an electrical storm?

### Study design:

- Randomized prospective multicenter study
- Follow-up by home monitoring

The study began in September 2012

- 19 sites are actively enrolling:
  - 15 in Italy, 1 Portugal, 1 in Switzerland, 1 in France and 1 in Germany



# **Optimal timing of ablation**



VT-naïve patients (A) and after catheter ablation recurrence (B)



## My recommandation

- 1. PVC or VT burden이 많은 환자는 ICD implantation전에 ablation을 시도
- 2. ICD implantation후 recurrence여부 확인
- 3. Monitor상 sustained monomorphic VT이 recurr된 경우는 catheter ablation
- 4. VF나 polymorphic VT인 경우는 AAD을 사용 혹은 증량, 변경.
- 5. Ablation전에 환자 상태 고려하여 procedure을 계획하고 end point을 설정.





VT Symposium 2021

Session 3. Device Programming & Management for VT/VF

How to Manage The VT or VF Episodes During Follow Up

### 감사합니다

Thank you!

